

### Communication

# A Model of the Oxygen-Evolving Center of Photosystem II Predicted by Structural Refinement Based on EXAFS Simulations

Eduardo M. Sproviero, Jose# A. Gasco#n, James P. McEvoy, Gary W. Brudvig, and Victor S. Batista *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2008**, 130 (21), 6728-6730 • DOI: 10.1021/ja801979n • Publication Date (Web): 06 May 2008

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 8, 2009



## **More About This Article**

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 1 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





#### A Model of the Oxygen-Evolving Center of Photosystem II Predicted by Structural Refinement Based on EXAFS Simulations

Eduardo M. Sproviero, José A. Gascón,<sup>†</sup> James P. McEvoy,<sup>‡</sup> Gary W. Brudvig, and Victor S. Batista\*

Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8107

Received March 17, 2008; E-mail: victor.batista@yale.edu

The oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) of photosystem II (PSII) is a Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca cofactor that catalyzes the oxidation of water to dioxygen in the thylakoid membrane of green plant chloroplasts and internal membranes of cyanobacteria during the light period of photosynthesis.<sup>1</sup> Besides its biological significance, the OEC is a paradigm system for engineering direct solar fuel production catalysts since it is based on inexpensive metals and achieves an overall catalytic efficiency of water splitting that is yet to be matched by artificial systems. Elucidating its detailed molecular structure is, therefore, central for understanding the catalytic mechanism of photosynthetic water oxidation as well as for the development of biomimetic catalysts for oxidation chemistry.<sup>2</sup> In this paper, we introduce for the first time a refined structural model of the OEC of PSII that is maximally consistent with both highresolution polarized extended X-ray absorption fine structure (polarized-EXAFS) spectroscopy of single crystals of PSII<sup>3</sup> and the positioning of the amino acids around the metal cluster as characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) data.4,5

The OEC has been extensively investigated by biochemical and spectroscopic studies, including mutagenesis work in combination with Fourier transform infrared (FTIR),<sup>6</sup> fluorescence,<sup>7</sup> and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) methods,<sup>8</sup> time-resolved mass spectrometry (MS),<sup>9</sup> XRD<sup>4,5</sup> and X-ray absorption (XAS) spectroscopies,<sup>10</sup> including both high-resolution EXAFS<sup>3</sup> and X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES).<sup>3,10–12</sup> However, the exact configuration of the Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca cluster and its ligation scheme have yet to be established.

Recent breakthroughs in XRD studies have resolved nearly all cofactors and most of the amino acid residues in PSII at 3.0-3.5 Å resolution.4,5 However, the precise positions of the Mn ions, substrate water molecules, and proteinaceous ligands could not be resolved since the coordinate error in the density maps of the OEC was as high as 1 Å<sup>13</sup> and the resolution of bridging ligands was typically out of reach.<sup>14</sup> Nevertheless, the XRD models<sup>4,5</sup> have tentatively proposed positions for the Mn ions consistently with the overall electronic density maps and the metal-metal distances determined by EXAFS studies.<sup>15</sup> In addition, the XRD model 1S5L from the cyanobacterium Thermosynechococcus elongatus<sup>4</sup> suggested  $\mu$ -oxo bridges linking the metal centers, forming a Mn<sub>3</sub>CaO<sub>4</sub> cuboidal core with a "dangler" Mn ligated to a corner  $\mu_4$ -oxide ion similar to earlier proposals,<sup>8,16,17</sup> (Figure 1, left). Dangler Mn models were originally proposed within a set of 11 possible structural motives, suggested by solution EXAFS studies, from which the "dimer-of-dimers" model was extensively discussed.<sup>17</sup> Similar dangler Mn models were also preferred by 55Mn electronnuclear double resonance (ENDOR) studies that strongly disfavored the dimer-of-dimers motif over models with a trinuclear Mn core



*Figure 1.* Comparison of the  $Mn_4Ca$  inorganic core of the OEC of PSII as described by the empirical model IIa from ref 3 (right) and the XRD model  $1S5L^4$  (left).



*Figure 2.* Comparison of the experimental polarized-EXAFS spectra (red),<sup>3</sup> along the PSII crystal axes a, b, and c, and the calculated spectra (blue) based on the polarized-EXAFS model IIa from ref 3 (top) and the XRD model 1S5L (bottom).<sup>4</sup>

and a fourth Mn set off from the core by a longer Mn–Mn internuclear distance.<sup>18</sup> However, recent polarized-EXAFS studies of single crystals have disfavored dangler models and have reduced the original set of 11 possible models to four models (see models I, II, IIa, and III from ref 3 also described in the Supporting Information).

Figure 1 compares the  $Mn_4Ca$  inorganic core proposed by the XRD model 1S5L (left) and one of the polarized-EXAFS models from ref 3 (right), a model that reproduces the experimental polarized-EXAFS data along the three axes of the PSII crystal (Figure 2, top). In contrast, the polarized-EXAFS spectra calculated from the XRD model 1S5L show significant deviations when compared to experimental data (Figure 2, bottom panel). The calculated EXAFS spectra (blue lines in Figure 2) were obtained by using the ab initio real space Green function approach, as implemented in the program FEFF8 (version 8.2),<sup>19</sup> using the coordinates for the Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca cluster provided in ref 3 (Figure 2, top panel) and ref 4 (Figure 2, bottom panel), respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Current address: Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, Unit 3060, Storrs, CT 06269. <sup>\*</sup> Current address: Department of Chemistry, Regis University, 3333 Regis Buld

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Current address: Department of Chemistry, Regis University, 3333 Regis Bvld., Denver, CO 80221.



**Figure 3.** Comparison of the experimental polarized-EXAFS spectra (red),<sup>3</sup> along the PSII crystal axes *a*, *b*, and *c*, and the calculated spectra (blue) based on the DFT-QM/MM model (top)<sup>22</sup> and the R-QM/MM model (bottom) introduced in this paper.

The disagreement between the experimental EXAFS spectra and the simulated spectra based on the XRD model 1S5L is likely due to the intrinsic errors of the XRD model with moderate 3.5 Å resolution. In addition to structural disorder leading to XRD structures that might represent some sort of average of oxidized and photoreduced states, there is strong evidence for significant photoreducion of the Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca cluster due to the high doses of X-rays required during XRD data collection (where fully reduced Mn centers are expected to have coordination numbers lower than that of high-valent Mn).<sup>11,20</sup> In contrast, EXAFS spectra<sup>3,10</sup> are collected with much lower X-ray doses and therefore can provide metrical data of the OEC at a much higher (0.02 Å) resolution.

The four polarized-EXAFS models of the OEC reported in ref 3 are currently considered the most "rigorous" empirical models of the Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca metal cluster since they are the only empirical models that properly describe the dependence of high-resolution EXAFS amplitudes with the orientation of three-dimensionally ordered single crystals of PSII. However, structural differences between some of them (e.g., model I and model II) are large. Furthermore, placing any of the four models into the XRD structures of PSII results in unsatisfactory metal-ligand distances, coordination numbers, and geometries.<sup>21</sup> Such inconsistencies might be due to the coordinate error in the X-ray crystal models, or the intrinsic limitations of polarized-EXAFS models built by neglecting the contributions of electron scattering paths from the ligands (i.e., assuming that the EXAFS amplitudes result solely from scattering paths associated with metal centers and oxo-bridges in the inorganic core).

In contrast to the empirical models, the recently developed DFT-QM/MM model of PSII<sup>22,23</sup> is largely consistent with the Mn<sub>3</sub>CaO<sub>4</sub> cuboidal core of the OEC and the distribution of proteinaceous ligands suggested by XRD measurements<sup>4,5</sup> as well as with isotropic EXAFS spectra (see also Supporting Information).<sup>10</sup> In addition, as shown in Figure 3 (top panel), the calculated spectra obtained with the DFT-QM/MM model of the OEC reproduces the angular dependence of polarized-EXAFS amplitudes in much better agreement with experiments than the XRD model 1S5L (see Figure 2, bottom panel). Nevertheless, the deviations shown in Figure 3 (top panel) leave the OEC structure partially underdetermined until quantitative agreement between calculated and experimental high-resolution data is reached. Such agreement, however, is currently



*Figure 4.* Comparison of structural models of the  $Mn_4Ca$  metal cluster and ligands of the OEC of PSII, as described by the QM/MM (green) and the R-QM/MM (colored) models.

beyond the limitations of DFT methods, with typical errors in metal-metal and metal-ligand distances of the order of 0.05 Å.<sup>24</sup> Therefore, a perfect match between the simulated EXAFS spectra based on DFT optimized structures and high-resolution EXAFS data (with an error of the order of 0.02 Å) is not expected.

In order to obtain a model of the OEC in quantitative agreement with high-resolution spectroscopic data, beyond the limitations of current DFT methods, we have developed an EXAFS structural refinement method based on simulations of isotropic and polarized EXAFS spectra and direct comparisons with experimental data. The underlying computational procedure iteratively adjusts the molecular configuration of the system of interest, minimizing a scoring function defined in terms of the sum of squared deviations between calculated and experimental EXAFS spectra and a quadratic penalty factor that ensures minimum displacements of the nuclear positions relative to a reference configuration (i.e., the minimum energy DFT-QM/MM structure). Upon convergence of such a structural refinement procedure, we find that the isotropic and polarized EXAFS spectra of the resulting refined (R)-QM/MM model are in quantitative agreement with experimental data (see Figure 3, bottom panel, and the Supporting Information for the comparison of isotropic EXAFS spectra). In spite of the agreement with high-resolution spectroscopic data, however, the R-QM/MM model does not rule out other possibilities since it is only a local solution (relative to the reference DFT-QM/MM structure) obtained by a refinement protocol based on umbrella sampling of configurations next to the reference DFT-QM/MM structure.

In contrast to the polarized-EXAFS models, the resulting R-QM/ MM structure preserves intact the architecture of the cuboidal core  $Mn_3CaO_4$  with a dangler Mn ligated to a corner  $\mu_4$ -oxide ion, as well as the DFT-QM/MM ligation scheme of the reference configuration (see Figure 4). The refined model core also has good qualitative agreement with the analogous core in the 1S5L XRD structure and semiquantitative agreement with the reference DFT-QM/MM model. The main advantage of the refined model, relative to the earlier structures, is that the R-QM/MM model has metal-metal and metal-ligand distances and orientations corrected to ensure quantitative agreement with high-resolution spectroscopic

Table 1. Metal-Metal Distances and Bond-Vector Angles Relative to the Membrane Normal in the QM/MM<sup>22</sup> and R-QM/MM Structural Models of the OEC of PSII and the 1SSL XRD Model<sup>4</sup>

	QM/MM		R-QM/MM		X-Ray	
bond vector	Å	deg	Å	deg	Å	deg
Mn(1)-Mn(2)	2.76	57	2.74	58	2.65	59
Mn(1)-Mn(3)	2.76	85	2.63	82	2.67	79
Mn(2)-Mn(3)	2.82	63	2.77	67	2.72	71
Mn(2)-Mn(4)	3.34	54	3.34	58	3.25	58
Mn(3)-Mn(4)	3.72	29	3.68	37	3.26	38
Ca-Mn(2)	3.31	53	3.29	51	3.40	59
Ca-Mn(3)	3.95	35	3.88	36	3.38	39

data (see Table 1, Figure 3, and extended table of interatomic distances and coordinates provided in the Supporting Information).

In summary, we have developed a structural model of the OEC of PSII that is maximally consistent with both high-resolution polarized-EXAFS<sup>3</sup> and isotropic-EXAFS<sup>10</sup> as well as with the distribution of amino acid residues predicted by XRD.4,5 The R-QM/MM model involves a cuboidal core Mn<sub>3</sub>CaO<sub>4</sub>, with a dangler Mn ligated to a corner  $\mu_4$ -oxide ion, partially consistent with previous proposals,<sup>4,18,22,23</sup> including empirical models,<sup>4,18</sup> and DFT-QM/MM structures.<sup>22,23</sup> Furthermore, the proteinaceous ligation of the Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca cluster provides metal-ligand distances, metal coordination numbers, and configurations of amino acid residues surrounding the Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca cluster that are consistent with two XRD structures.<sup>4,5</sup> It is, therefore, natural to expect that the R-QM/ MM model should be particularly useful to establish the structure of the OEC consistently with high-resolution spectroscopic data, as well as for elucidating the water-splitting mechanism in PSII as described by the intermediate oxidation states of the OEC along the catalytic cycle.<sup>23</sup>

Acknowledgment. V.S.B. acknowledges supercomputer time from NERSC and financial support from the Grants NSF CHE # 0345984, NSF ECCS # 0404191, NIH 2R01-GM043278-14, DOE DE-FG02-07ER15909 and the US-Israel BSF. G.W.B. acknowledges support from the National Institutes of Health Grant GM32715. E.M.S. thanks Dr. J. Yano for the numerical values of parameters associated with the simulations of polarized-EXAFS.

Supporting Information Available: Description of the methods used to prepare and analyze the computational structural models of the OEC of PSII, including figures and coordinates of the resulting models and a detailed comparative analysis of EXAFS spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### References

- McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 4455–4483.
  (a) Abuabara, S. G.; Cady, C. W.; Baxter, J. B.; Schmuttenmaer, C. A.; Crabtree, R. H.; Brudvig, G. W.; Batista, V. S. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2007**,

111, 11982–11990. (b) Limburg, J.; Vrettos, J. S.; Liable-Sands, L. M.; Rheingold, A. L.; Crabtree, R. H.; Brudvig, G. W. *Science* **1999**, *83*, 1524– 1527. (c) Das, S.; Incarvito, C. D.; Crabtree, R. H.; Brudvig, G. W. *Science* 2006, 312, 1941-1943.

- (3) Yano, J.; Kern, J.; Sauer, K.; Latimer, M. J.; Pushkar, Y.; Biesiadka, J.; Loll, B.; Saenger, W.; Messinger, J.; Zouni, A.; V.; Yachandra, V. K. Science **2006**, *314*, 821–825.
- (4) Ferreira, K. N.; Iverson, T. M.; Maghlaoui, K.; Barber, J.; Iwata, S. Science 2004, 303, 1831-1838.
- (5)Loll, B.; Kern, J.; Saenger, W.; Zouni, A.; Biesiadka, J. Nature 2005, 438, 1040 - 1044
- (6) (a) Debus, R. J. In Photosystem II: The Light-Driven Water: Plastoquinone *Oxidoreductase* Wydrzyni, T. J., Satoh, K., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2005; Vol. 22, pp 261–284. (b) Strickler, M. A.; Walker, L. M.; Hillier, W.; Britt, R. D.; Debus, R. J. Biochemistry 2007, 46, 3151-3160.
- (7) Bergmann, U.; Grush, M. M.; Horne, C. R.; DeMarois, P.; Penner-Hahn, J. E.; Yocum, C. F.; Wright, D. W.; Dube, C. E.; Armstrong, W. H.; Christou, G.; Eppley, H. J.; Cramer, S. P. J. Phys. Chem. B 1998, 102, 8350-8352
- (8) Britt, R. D.; Campbell, K. A.; Peloquin, J. M.; Gilchrist, M. L.; Aznar, C. P.; Dicus, M. M.; Robblee, J.; Messinger, J. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2004, 1655, 158-171.
- (9) (a) Hillier, W.; Wydrzynski, T. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2004, 6, 4882–4889.
  (b) Wydrzynski, T.; Hillier, W.; Messinger, J. Phys. Plant. 1996, 96. 342-350
- (10) Haumann, M.; Muller, C.; Liebisch, P.; Iuzzolino, L.; Dittmer, J.; Grabolle, M.; Neisius, T.; Meyer-Klaucke, W.; Dau, H. Biochemistry 2005, 44, 1894-1908.
- (11) Yano, J.; Kern, J.; Irrgang, K. D.; Latimer, M. J.; Bergmann, U.; Glatzel, P.; Pushkar, Y.; Biesiadka, J.; Loll, B.; Sauer, K.; Messinger, J.; Zouni, Yachandra, V. K. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2005, 102, 12047-A.: 12052.
- (12) (a) Haumann, M.; Liebisch, P.; Muller, C.; Barra, M.; Grabolle, M.; Dau, H. Science 2005, 310, 1019-1021. (b) Dau, H.; Liebisch, P.; Haumann, M. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2004, 6, 4781-4792.
- (13) Kamiya, N.; Shen, J. R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2003, 100, 98-103. (14) Dau, H.; Liebisch, P.; Haumann, M. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2004, 6, 4781-4792
- (15) (a) Robblee, J. H.; Cinco, R. M.; Yachandra, V. K. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2001, 1503, 7-23. (b) Dau, H.; Iuzzolino, L.; Dittmer, J. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2001, 1503, 24-39.
- (16) (a) Peloquin, J. M.; Campbell, K. A.; Randall, D. W.; Evanchik, M. A.; Pecoraro, V. L.; Armstrong, W. H.; Britt, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10926-10942. (b) Hasegawa, K.; Ono, T. A.; Inoue, Y.; Kusunoki, M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1999, 300, 9-19.
- (17) Yachandra, V. K.; Sauer, K.; Klein, M. P. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2927-2950
- (18) Britt, R. D.; Peloquin, J. M.; Campbell, K. A. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 2000, 29, 463–495.
- (19) (a) Ankudinov, A. L.; Bouldin, C. E.; Rehr, J. J.; Sims, J.; Hung, H. Phys. Rev. B 2002, 65. (b) Bouldin, C.; Sims, J.; Hung, H.; Rehr, J. J.; Ankudinov, A. L. X-Ray Spectrometry 2001, 30, 431-434.
- (20) Grabolle, M.; Haumann, M.; Muller, C.; Liebisch, P.; Dau, H. J. Biol. Chem. **2006**, 281, 4580–4588
- (21) Barber, J.; Murray, J. W. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 2008, 363, 1129-1137.
- (22) (a) Sproviero, E. M.; Gascon, J. A.; McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W.; Batista, V. S. J. Chem. Theor. Comput. 2006, 2, 1119–1134. (b) Sproviero, E. M.; Gascon, J. A.; McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W.; Batista, V. S. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2007, 17, 173–180. (c) Sproviero, E. M.; Gascon, J. A.; McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W.; Batista, V. S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2008, 252, 395-415. (d) Sproviero, E. M.; Shinopoulos, K.; Gascon, J. A.; McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W.; Batista, V. S. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 2008, 363, 1149-1156.
- (23) Sproviero, E. M.; Gascon, J. A.; McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W.; Batista, <sup>\*</sup>. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 3428–3442.
- (24) Sproviero, E. M.; Gascon, J. A.; McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W.; Batista, V. S. J. Inorg. Biochem 2006, 100, 786-800.

JA801979N